



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/812,409 | | 03/20/2001 | Nicholas Paul Andrew Galea | U 013324-3 | 2440 |
| 27717 | 7590 | 03/22/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| SEYFART | H SHAW | 7 | DADA, BEEMNET W | | |
| 55 EAST M | ONROE S | STREET | | | |
| SUITE 4200 |) | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803 | | | | 2135 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|--|--|---|---------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/812,409 | ANDREW | | |
| | , | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Beemnet W Dada | 2135 | | |
| The MAIL Period for Reply | LING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with | h the correspondence a | ddress | |
| THE MAILING C - Extensions of time in after SIX (6) MONTI - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply with Any reply received by | O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 HS from the mailing date of this communication. by specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsiv | ve to communication(s) filed on 22 A | lovember 2004. | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | s action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this | application is in condition for allowa | ince except for formal matte | rs, prosecution as to th | e merits is | |
| closed in a | accordance with the practice under t | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Clai | ms | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> | 1-30 is/are pending in the application | ı . | | | |
| 4a) Of the | above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | |
| 5)☐ Claim(s) _ | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> | <u>l-30</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7)☐ Claim(s) _ | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) _ | are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specifi | ication is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | |
| 10)□ The drawir | ng(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | epted or b) objected to b | y the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant n | nay not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyand | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | 4 | |
| Replaceme | ent drawing sheet(s) including the correc | tion is required if the drawing(s | s) is objected to. See 37 C | CFR 1.121(d). | |
| 11)∏ The oath o | r declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note the attached | Office Action or form P | TO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U | .S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| | Igment is made of a claim for foreign | nriority under 35 U.S.C. S | 110(a)-(d) or (f) | | |
| | igment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | i priority under 33 U.S.C. 9 | 1 13(a)-(u) 01 (1). | | |
| · | tified copies of the priority document | ts have been received | | | |
| | tified copies of the priority document | | unlication No | | |
| | pies of the certified copies of the prior | · | · | l Stane | |
| | lication from the International Burea | | COCIVED III UIIS IVAUUIIA | ii Olage | |
| | ached detailed Office action for a list | • | eceived | | |
| | | The second secon | | | |
| Attachme=t/s\ | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Reference | ras Citad (PTO 802) | و سعند معند ال | immoni (DTO 442) | | |
| | rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) L Interview Su Paper No(s) | ımmary (P1O-413) /Mail Date | | |
| 3) Information Disclos | sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) |) 5) 🔲 Notice of Inf | formal Patent Application (PT | O-152) | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail [| Date | 6) Other: | - · | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in reply to an amendment filed on November 22, 2004. Claims 1-30 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1- 6, 11-15, 17-20 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ji et al US Patent 5,889,943 (hereinafter Ji).
- 4. As per claim 1 and 15, Ji teaches an anti-virus system for an electronic mail message [see abstract], the system including detecting means for determining the presence of the electronic mail message [column 11, lines 51-53, and column 8, lines 42-67]; analysis and scanning detecting means for analysing and scanning the electronic mail message for tags (for example for file extensions, and/or portions of messages that begin with a line such as 'begin filename' and end with a line such as 'end' ") indicating the presence of operable program code [col. 11, line 53–col. 12, line 3, and col. 8, line 50–col. 9, line 3, col. 12, lines 18-31] and for removing any such tags and operable program code from the electronic mail message [col. 12,

Application/Control Number: 09/812,409 Page 3

Art Unit: 2135

lines 47-49, 56-58]; and application means for applying the electronic mail message, with the tags and operable program code removed, to server means [col. 12, lines 47-49, 56-58].

- 5. As per claims 2 and 17, Ji teaches the system as applied above. Ji further teaches the system wherein a message body part is scanned for viruses [column 11, lines 54-67 and column 12, lines 1-14] and an attachment part of the message is scanned for viruses [column 19, lines 40-67 and column 20 lines 1-27].
- 6. As per claims 3 and 18, Ji teaches the system as applied above. Ji further teaches the scanning means comprise scanning means for scanning the message for predetermined character strings [column 11, lines 59-63].
- 7. As per claims 4-5 and 19-20, Ji teaches the system as applied above. Ji further teaches replacement means for replacing the removed tag and operable program code with alternative text [column 12, lines 54-57].
- 8. As per claims 6, Ji teaches the system as applied above. Ji further teaches scanning means for scanning attachments for operable macros [col. 11, line 53–col. 12, line 3, and col. 8, line 50–col. 9, line 3, col. 12, lines 18-31].
- 9. As per claims 11 and 12, Ji teaches the system as applied above. Ji further teaches the scanning means comprise scanning means for scanning the message for predetermined character strings [column 11, lines 59-63].

Application/Control Number: 09/812,409 Page 4

Art Unit: 2135

10. As per claims 13-14 and 26-27, Ji teaches the system as applied above. Ji further teaches capturing electronic messages passing between a first network and a second network [column 11, lines 50-57].

11. As per claims 28-30, Ji teaches the system as applied above. Ji further teaches a computer program comprising code means for performing all the steps of the method of claim 15 when the program is run on one or more computers [column 3, lines 13-18].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 7-10 and 16-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ji US Patent 5,889,943 in view of Kim et al. US Patent 6,701,440 (hereinafter Kim).
- 14. As per claims 7, 16 and 21, Ji teaches the system as applied above. Ji further teaches storing encode portions of a message containing viruses in a specified directory [column 12, lines 49-54]. Ji does not explicitly teach quarantining an attachment containing a macro or operable program code. However, kim teaches a remote e-mail scanning device [see abstract], including quarantine means for quarantining a constituent body containing operable program code and/or removing from the message and quarantining an attachment containing a macro or

Application/Control Number: 09/812,409

Art Unit: 2135

operable program code [column 8, lines 57-67 and column 9, lines 1-19]. Both Ji and Kim teach an anti-virus system for electronic messages. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant invention to modify the teachings of Kim within the system of Ji in order to enhance the security of the system by quarantining messages containing operable program code.

Page 5

- 15. As per claim 8, the combination of Ji and Kim teach the system as applied above. Furthermore, Kim teaches the system wherein the quarantine means includes means for removing a macro from an attachment, quarantining the macro and releasing the attachment with the macro removed [column lines 8, 57-67 and column 9, lines 1-19].
- 16. As per claims 9 and 22, the combination of Ji and Kim teach the system as applied above. Furthermore, Kim teaches the system wherein the quarantine means includes means for storing the constituent body, attachment or macro in a quarantine storage location as a quarantined item, receiving means for receiving a input indicating a decision whether the quarantined item may be delivered to an intended recipient, and dependant on the decision input either releasing the quarantined item for delivery to the intended recipient with or without the operable code removed or deleting the quarantined item [column 8, lines 57-67 and column 9, lines 1-29].
- 17. As per claims 10 and 23, the combination of Ji and Kim teach the system as applied above. Furthermore, Kim teaches the system wherein the quarantine means includes informing means, on deleting the quarantined item, for informing the intended recipient and/or a sender of

Art Unit: 2135

the message that the quarantined item has been deleted without being delivered to the intended recipient [column 9, lines 1-29].

- 18. As per claim 24, the combination of Ji and Kim teach the system as applied above. Furthermore, Kim teaches the system wherein the scanning means for scanning attachments for operable macros comprises means for sequentially scanning the attachments for a plurality of predetermined character strings [column 1, lines 30-37 and column 5, lines 30-34].
- 19. As per claim 25, the combination of Ji and Kim teach the system as applied above. Furthermore, Kim teaches the system wherein the means for scanning attachments for a plurality of predetermined character strings includes termination means for terminating scanning when one of the predetermined strings is not found on completely scanning the attachment [column 1, lines 30-37 and column 5, lines 30-35].

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments filed November 22, 2004 with respect to Ji US Patent 5,889,943 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Ji fails to teach scanning electronic message for tags indicating the presence of operable program code and replacing a removed tag and operable program code. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Ji teaches scanning an electronic mail message for tags (for example for file extensions, and/or portions of messages that begin with a line such as 'begin filename' and end with a line such as 'end' ") indicating the presence of operable program code [col. 11, line 53–col. 12, line 3, and col. 8, line 50–col. 9, line 3, col. 12, lines 18-31] and for removing any such tags and operable

Application/Control Number: 09/812,409

Art Unit: 2135

program code from the electronic mail message [col. 12, lines 47-49, 56-58] which meets the recitation of the claims and Ji further teaches replacing the removed tag and operable program code with alternative text [column 12, lines 54-57].

21. Applicant's arguments, filed November 22, 2004 with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1 and 15 under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by Kim US Patent 6,701,440 B1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Conclusion

- 22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a) US Patent 6,230,288 B1 teaches method of treating white space during virus detection.
- b) US Patent 5,951,698 teaches system for detection and removal of viruses in macros.
- c) US Patent 6,697,950 B1 teaches method and apparatus for detecting a macro computer virus using static analysis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beemnet W Dada whose telephone number is (571) 272-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/812,409 Page 8

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Beemnet Dada

March 12, 2005